UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,495	05/10/2005	Andrew C. Lewin	124-1118	4506	
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 06/30/201 NDERHYE, PC	0	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	PUNNOOSE, ROY M			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2886		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/534,495 LEW		LEWIN ET AL.	
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROY PUNNOOSE	2886	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Rej</u>	ected	
(1) Examiner Roy Punnoose.	(3)		
(2) Atty. Stanley Spooner.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>4 June 2010</u>	Time: <u>8:21 AM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1-37			
Prior art documents discussed:  None			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Th of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/ROY M. PUNNOOSE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2886	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the interest of compact prosecution, the Examiner contacted and had an interview with Atty. Stanley Spooner on 04 June 2010. The Examiner proposed an in-person interview at the Office in the presence of the Examiner's supervisor, SPE Tarifur Chowdhury, to discuss the claims, possible allowable subject matter and/or any other pending issues. However, Atty. Spooner declined the offer and stated that "an in-person interview at the Office would not be feasible because his clients are in the UK." Atty. Spooner stated that he's willing to correct any errors via a telephone interview..